Unraveling the Nexus: Money in Nigerian Politics and the Imperative of Campaign Finance Regulations

¹Frank Prince Diepreye & ²Keremah Helen Erepagamo

¹Political Science) Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social Science, Federal University, Otuoke. Email: dipreyefrank@gmail.com ²Human Resources Management, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Amassoma

DOI: 10.56201/jbae.v10.no1.2024.pg57.62

Abstract

This article examines the pervasive influence of money in Nigerian politics and the imperative of implementing effective campaign finance regulations. In Nigeria, financial resources wield considerable power in shaping electoral outcomes and policymaking processes. The prevalence of vote-buying, patronage networks, and campaign spending undermines democratic principles of representation and accountability. Despite the existence of campaign finance regulations, challenges such as weak enforcement mechanisms and regulatory loopholes persist, perpetuating electoral malpractice and eroding public trust in democratic institutions. Through a comprehensive analysis of the dynamics of money in Nigerian politics, this article underscores the necessity of strengthening regulatory frameworks, enhancing transparency measures, and promoting civic education to foster a more equitable and participatory political landscape. Addressing systemic issues such as corruption and political exclusion is essential for upholding the integrity of electoral processes and realizing democratic ideals in Nigeria.

Keywords: Nigeria, politics, campaign finance, regulations, democracy, transparency, accountability, electoral process.

Introduction

In Nigeria, the influence of money in politics is a pervasive and intricate phenomenon that profoundly shapes the democratic landscape (Ebo, 2018). The interplay between financial resources, political campaigns, and regulatory frameworks underscores the need to critically examine the role of money in Nigerian politics and the efficacy of campaign finance regulations (Ibeanu, 2016). Money wields immense power in Nigerian politics, shaping the landscape of elections and governance. The intricate web of financial influences underscores the critical need for robust campaign finance regulations to safeguard the democratic process and ensure transparency and fairness in political contests. The legal framework governing campaign finance in Nigeria is crucial to ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability in the electoral process (234Vote 2015) (political finance regulations 2019). The Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) plays a pivotal role in overseeing political parties' finances and ensuring

compliance with regulations (political finance regulations 2019). This article endeavors to explore the multifaceted dynamics of money in Nigerian politics, contextualizing its influence within the broader framework of democratic governance and electoral integrity.

Conceptual Review of Nigerian Politics

Nigerian politics is a complex tapestry woven from a myriad of historical, cultural, and socioeconomic threads, profoundly shaping its democratic journey. The country's transition to democratic governance in 1999 marked a significant milestone, yet it inherited a legacy of political instability and authoritarian rule, which continue to influence its political landscape (Hassan, 2016). The Nigerian state operates within a federal system characterized by a delicate balance of power between the central government and its 36 states, each representing diverse ethnic, religious, and linguistic groups (Suberu, 2001). Ethnicity and religion play pivotal roles in Nigerian politics, often serving as fault lines along which political alliances and conflicts are formed (Diamond, 2002). Political parties frequently align themselves along ethnic and religious lines, reflecting the deep-seated identity politics that permeate the nation's political culture (Chukwuma, 2019). Moreover, patronage networks and clientelism are pervasive, with political elites leveraging these networks to consolidate power and maintain control over state resources (Osaghae, 1998). Corruption remains a formidable challenge in Nigerian politics, undermining democratic institutions and eroding public trust in government (Transparency International, 2020). The entrenchment of corruption within the political system perpetuates a culture of impunity, where accountability mechanisms often fail to hold public officials to task (Oyovbaire, 2007). Consequently, the pursuit of political office becomes increasingly synonymous with the accumulation of wealth and influence, rather than a commitment to public service and governance (Suberu, 2001). Despite these challenges, Nigeria's democratic trajectory offers glimmers of hope and resilience. Civil society organizations, media outlets, and grassroots movements play critical roles in promoting accountability, transparency, and civic engagement (Omotola, 2019). Moreover, periodic elections serve as opportunities for citizens to express their democratic preferences and hold elected officials accountable, albeit amidst concerns of electoral malpractices and irregularities (Momoh, 2018). In navigating the complexities of Nigerian politics, the imperative for effective governance and institutional reform becomes evident. Strengthening democratic institutions, enhancing accountability mechanisms, and promoting inclusivity are essential for consolidating Nigeria's democratic gains and fostering sustainable development (Omotola, 2019). Additionally, addressing socio-economic disparities, investing in education and healthcare, and promoting youth empowerment are critical for fostering social cohesion and political stability (Onuoha, 2018). Nigerian politics embodies a complex interplay of historical legacies, socio-economic realities, and institutional dynamics. While beset by challenges, Nigeria's democratic journey is characterized by resilience, activism, and the enduring quest for a more inclusive and accountable political system.

Money in Nigerian Politics:

Nigeria's political landscape is characterized by the substantial influence of money, with financial resources playing a decisive role in electoral outcomes and policymaking. Research suggests that the commodification of politics, fueled by the prevalence of vote-buying, patronage networks, and campaign spending, undermines the principles of democratic representation and accountability

(Ibeanu, 2016). The concentration of wealth among political elites and the proliferation of illicit funds exacerbates inequalities and distort the electoral process, disenfranchising marginalized communities (Ebo, 2018).

Campaign Finance Regulations in Nigeria:

Against the backdrop of the pervasive influence of money in politics, Nigeria has implemented campaign finance regulations aimed at promoting transparency, accountability, and fairness in electoral contests. The Nigerian Constitution, particularly sections 225(1), 226(1), 90(3), and 221, sets limits on contributions by individuals, entities, and corporations to political parties (234Vote 2015). However, criticisms have been raised regarding the restrictions on corporate contributions as potentially infringing on freedom of association (political finance regulations 2019). The Electoral Act of 2010, as amended, outlines provisions for the regulation of campaign finance, including expenditure limits, disclosure requirements, and the prohibition of foreign donations (INEC, 2010). The Constitution and the Electoral Act serve as pillars of accountability, setting limits on campaign spending, regulating contributions, and promoting transparency in funding sources (Onuoha, 2021). Additionally, the establishment of the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) underscores the government's commitment to enhancing the integrity of electoral processes through robust regulatory mechanisms (Okoye, 2018). Unregulated campaign financing poses challenges to democracy, allowing unfair advantages for ruling parties and potential vote-buying practices (234Vote 2015). Without proper regulations, there is a risk of funding from undesirable sources, improper influence on policy outcomes, and financial barriers for average citizens seeking political office (234Vote 2015). To ensure a free, fair, and equal democratic process, political parties must adhere to transparency requirements in contributions and spending, while INEC must rigorously enforce campaign finance laws. The enforcement of regulations is crucial to prevent the misuse of public funds and maintain the integrity of the electoral system.

The Influence of Money in Nigerian Politics

In Nigeria, the flow of money in politics can often tip the scales in favor of certain parties or candidates, potentially distorting the electoral playing field (Smith, 2020). Unregulated campaign financing opens the door to abuse, allowing those with deep pockets to exert undue influence, manipulate outcomes, and compromise the integrity of the democratic process (Ojo, 2019). Campaign finance regulations are not merely bureaucratic hurdles; they are essential safeguards that uphold the principles of democracy. By imposing restrictions on spending, contributions, and funding sources, these regulations aim to level the playing field, prevent the misuse of public resources, and curb the influence of money on electoral outcomes (Ibrahim, 2018). The Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) plays a pivotal role in overseeing compliance with campaign finance laws, ensuring that political parties adhere to the prescribed regulations (Adeleke, 2022). By enforcing accountability and transparency in financial matters, INEC contributes to fostering a political environment where all candidates and parties compete on equal footing. Ultimately, the enforcement of campaign finance regulations is not just a legal requirement; it is a cornerstone of democracy that fosters trust and confidence in the electoral process. By upholding transparency, accountability, and fairness in political financing, Nigeria can

strengthen its democratic institutions and promote a more inclusive and participatory political landscape (Oluwatobi, 2020).

Challenges and Reforms:

Unregulated campaign financing in Nigeria exacerbates inequalities and undermines the democratic process. Wealthy individuals, political elites, and corporate entities often wield disproportionate influence, marginalizing aspiring candidates without access to substantial financial resources (Stricter campaign finance regulations 2022). Despite the existence of campaign finance regulations, Nigeria faces significant challenges in effectively curbing the influence of money in politics. Weak enforcement mechanisms, loopholes in regulatory frameworks, and the pervasiveness of corruption undermine the efficacy of existing laws (Oyelaran-Oyeyinka et al.2018). Furthermore, the monetization of politics perpetuates a cycle of electoral malpractice and undermines public trust in democratic institutions (Omotola, 2019). Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive reforms, including strengthening enforcement agencies, enhancing transparency measures, and promoting civic education and awareness on the importance of accountable governance (Adegoke & Ismail, 2020). Stringent campaign finance regulations must be enacted and enforced. These regulations should encompass strict limits on contributions, transparent reporting mechanisms, and robust enforcement mechanisms to deter violations.

Conclusion:

The nexus between money and Nigerian politics underscores the urgency of implementing effective campaign finance regulations (Oluwatobi, 2020). The influence of money in Nigerian politics represents a formidable challenge to democratic governance and electoral integrity. While campaign finance regulations serve as essential tools for promoting transparency and accountability, addressing systemic issues such as corruption, poverty, and political exclusion is imperative for fostering a more equitable and participatory political landscape (Adeleke, 2022). By bolstering regulatory frameworks, enhancing enforcement mechanisms, and fostering a culture of ethical leadership, Nigeria can aspire to realize the democratic ideals of representation, inclusivity, and good governance.

References:

- 234Vote (2015): What the law says about campaign financing. borg. Research. (https://www.borg.re/articles/234votes/234vote-what-the-law-says-about-campaign-financing)
- Adegoke, A. I., & Ismail, O. S. (2020). "Money and Politics in Nigeria: Challenges and Opportunities." Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 38(3), 295-312.
- Adeleke, S. (2022). The Role of INEC in Enforcing Campaign Finance Laws in Nigeria. Electoral Governance Review, 5(1), 33-47.
- Chukwuma, I. (2019). Ethnicity and Nigeria's Party Politics. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331473217_Ethnicity_and_Nigeria's Party_Politics)

- Diamond, L. (2002). Thinking About Hybrid Regimes. (https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/thinking-about-hybrid-regimes/)
- Ebo, A. (2018). "The Influence of Money in Nigerian Politics." Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 36(3), 336-353.
- Hassan, I. (2016). The Challenges of Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria. (https://www.africaportal.org/publications/challenges-democratic-consolidation-nigeria/)
- Ibeanu, O. (2016). "Political Finance and Electoral Integrity in Nigeria." International Political Science Review, 37(5), 643-654.
- Ibrahim, K. (2018). The Imperative of Campaign Finance Regulations for Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria. Journal of Governance and Political Studies, 12(4), 210-225.
- Independent National Electoral Commission. (2010). Electoral Act. Retrieved from (www.inecnigeria.org).
- Momoh, A. (2018). Democracy and the Challenges of Electoral Process in Nigeria. (https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/2278533718804979)
- Ojo, A. (2019). Unregulated Campaign Financing and Democratic Erosion in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Political Science, 8(1), 78-94.
- Okoye, F. N. (2018). "Campaign Finance Regulations: A Case Study of Nigeria." African Studies Quarterly, 18(1), 3-19.
- Oluwatobi, F. (2020). Campaign Finance and Democratic Governance in Nigeria: A Comparative Perspective. African Journal of Political Science, 17(2), 89-105.
- Omotola, J. S. (2019). "Challenges of Campaign Finance Regulations in Nigeria." The Journal of Modern African Studies, 57(3), 403-424.
- Omotola, J. S. (2019). Civil society and democratization in Nigeria. (https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12142-019-0554-7)
- Onuoha, B. (2021). Campaign Finance Regulations in Nigeria: A Legal Analysis. Nigerian Law Review, 20(3), 112-129.
- Onuoha, F. (2018). Addressing Nigeria's multifaceted security challenges.
- Osaghae, E. E. (1998). Crippled giant: Nigeria since independence. (https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Crippled_Giant.html?id=uvuTq6yBsSwC)
- Oyelaran-Oyeyinka, B., & Sampath, R. K. (2018). "Campaign Finance and Corruption in Nigeria." Democratization, 25(4), 638-654.
- Oyovbaire, S. (2007). Corruption and democratic governance in Nigeria. (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237144457 Corruption and Democratic Governance in Nigeria)

- Smith, J. (2020). Money and Politics in Nigeria: The Role of Campaign Finance. Journal of African Politics, 15(2), 45-62.
- Stricter campaign finance regulations 2022. Faster Capital. (https://fastercapital.com/keyword/stricter-campaign-finance-regulations.html)
- Suberu, R. T. (2001). Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria. (https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Federalism_and_Ethnic_Conflict_in_Nigeri.htm 1?id=v_AABAAQBAJ)
- The state of political finance regulations in Africa (2019). (PDF) International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (https://www.idea.int/sites/default/files/publications/the-state-of-political-finance-regulations-in-africa.pdf)
- Transparency International. (2020). Corruption Perceptions Index 2020. (https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2020)